

**IFTPS**

# Food Recall

***IFTPS***

**Institute For Thermal Processing Specialists**

**34th Annual Conference & General Meeting**

**DBA**

**Dover  
Brook  
Associates**

# Food Safety Modernization Act

- A major revision of our nation's food safety laws was advanced when the President signed the FDA Food Safety Modernization Act (FSMA) into law on January 4, 2011.
- This comprehensive law has reshaped the approach taken by the FDA.
- The Agency is transitioning from one that was largely reactive to one that focuses on prevention.

# Food Safety Modernization Act

- Overall, FSMA takes lessons learned from the last decade to give the FDA enhanced tools for protecting public health.
- The law has several new and important provisions that affect the food industry.

# Food Safety Modernization Act

- These provisions impact:
  - 1) Recall and consumer notification
  - 2) Facility registration and suspension
  - 3) Records access
  - 4) Prior notice for imports
  - 5) Administrative detention
  - 6) Fees for recall and re-inspection
  - 7) High-risk food categories

# The 5 Major Elements of the Law

**Preventive controls-** For the first time, FDA has a legislative mandate to require comprehensive, prevention-based controls across the food supply.

**Inspection and Compliance-** The legislation recognizes that inspection is an important means of holding industry accountable. FDA applies its inspection resources in a risk-based manner.

# The 5 Major Elements of the Law

**Imported Food Safety-** FDA has new tools to ensure that imported foods meet US standards and are safe for our consumers.

**Response-** For the first time, FDA will have mandatory recall authority for all food products.

**Enhanced Partnerships-** The legislation recognizes the importance of strengthening existing collaboration among all food safety agencies

# FSMA Recall

*Under FSMA, FDA now has authority to order a mandatory recall.*

How did it work before the legislation?

- 1) The Agency would have to request the manufacturer to voluntarily recall the product in question.
- 2) The Agency would need a court order to issue a mandatory recall of the product.
- 3) For LACF products, the Commissioner could implement Emergency Permit.

# FSMA Recall

***Under FSMA, FDA now has authority to order a mandatory recall.***

How does it work after the legislation?

- 1) Mandatory recall authority. Self-executing upon enactment of FSMA
  - a) *FSMA § 206; FDCA § 423; 21 U.S.C. § 3501*
- 2) The provision requires FDA to first give companies the opportunity to conduct a voluntary recall.



# FSMA Recall

*Under FSMA, FDA now has authority to order a mandatory recall.*

How does it work after the legislation?

- 3) If a company fails to respond, FDA has the authority to order a recall.
- 4) The law does not require the agency to issue guidance or regulations.
- 5) The legislation mandates that FDA develop communications tools that will help inform consumers about recalls.

# Agency Recall Procedures

## *Purpose of a Food Recall*

Method for removing or correcting commercially distributed products that are adulterated or misbranded and against which FDA could initiate formal legal action:

- 1) Voluntary
  - a) Firm Initiated Recall
  - b) FDA Requested Recall
- 2) Mandatory
  - a) FDA Mandated Recall Order

# Agency Recall Procedures

## *Classification of Food Recalls*

Numerical designation to indicate the relative degree of health hazard presented by the product being recalled

- A) Class I - Reasonable probability that the use of or exposure to a product will cause serious adverse health consequences or death
- B) Class II - Use of or exposure to a product may cause temporary or medically reversible adverse health consequences.
- C) Class III - Use of or exposure to a product is not likely to cause adverse health effects.

# **Agency Recall Procedures**

## *Guidance, Regulations, Authority*

1. Regulations: 21 CFR Part 7, Subpart C
2. FDA Industry Guidance: Product Recalls, Including Removals and Corrections
3. Section 206 of FSMA Amends the FD&C Act to add Section 423 giving FDA Mandatory Recall Authority for Foods

# Agency Recall Procedures

## *Authority and Action*

FDA exercises its authority under section 423 of the Act when they have determined that there is a:

- 1) Reasonable probability that the article of food, other than infant formula, is adulterated under section 402 or misbranded under section 403(w) of the Act.

**And**

- 2) The use of or exposure to such article will cause serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals

# Agency Recall Procedures

## *Authority and Action*

- 3) FDA has provided the responsible party with an opportunity to cease distribution and conduct a voluntary recall of the article of food.

**And**

- 4) The responsible party has not recalled the article of food within the time and manner specified, if prescribed, by FDA

# Agency Recall Actions

## *FDA has Flexed Its New Legislative Recall Muscles*

- Recall notification suggests the FDA is ready and determined to use its expanded enforcement authority.
- Under the new law, FDA has authority to order the mandatory product recall where there is **reasonable probability** that the articles are **adulterated** or **misbranded**, and the use of or exposure to such article[s] will cause **serious adverse** health consequences or **death** to humans or animals.

# Agency Recall Action

## *The Kasel Notification*

### Background:

Kasel Associated Industries of Denver, CO produces pet food products at their Denver manufacturing facility. Inspectors had:

- 1) conducted tests of finished and unfinished Kasel pet treat products
- 2) performed environmental testing of Kasel's manufacturing facility
- 3) found samples tested positive for Salmonella, including food contact surfaces within the facility.

In addition, FDA investigators specifically cited cGMP issues with the Kasel facility.



# Agency Recall Action

## *The Kasel Notification*

Kasel Associates Industries, Inc.

- 49 Pet Treat Products which included Boots & Barkley, BIXBI, Nature's Deli, Colorado Naturals, Petco, and Best Bully Stick items
- Products were distributed nationwide from 4/20 to 9/19/2012. **No human illnesses were determined or reported. Some dogs were reported to be ill after treats.**
- Salmonella
  - Product and Environmental Samples were Positives
- Voluntarily Recalled Sampled (+) Lots, but **Not All Suspect Lots**
  - October 2012

# **Agency Recall Action**

## ***The Kasel Notification***

Kasel Associates Industries, Inc.

- Received 423 (a) Letter: Notification of Opportunity to Cease Distribution and Conduct a Voluntary Recall
  - February 13, 2013
  - Notification documented that Kasel's products were adulterated under the FFDCA and a reasonable probability existed that the use of or exposure to the products would cause serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals.

# Agency Recall Action

## *The Kasel Notification*

Kasel Associates Industries, Inc.

- Firm Subsequently Issued Press Recalling **All** Products
  - February 19, 2013

# Agency Recall Action

## *The USPLabs Notification*

### Background:

USPLabs produces dietary supplements at their Dallas manufacturing facility. FDA Investigators found:

- 1) Epidemiological evidence showed that use of these products was associated with adverse health consequence
- 2) The company's weight-loss product caused 56 cases of liver damage, acute liver failure or drug-induced hepatitis
- 3) The supplement contained Aegeline, an unapproved dietary supplement with no safety data
- 4) Aegeline is a synthesized version of a natural extract from the Bael tree.

# Agency Recall Action

## *The USPlabs Notification*

USPlabs LLC.

- 3 weight loss products: OxyElite Pro Super Thermo capsules, powder and ultra-Intense capsules
- Products were distributed nationwide from March to October 2012
- Chemical Adulteration
- Warning Letter sent USPlabs October 11, 2013 – No action from firm

# Agency Recall Action

## *The USPlabs Notification*

USPlabs LLC.

– Received 423 (a) Letter: Notification of Opportunity to Cease Distribution and Conduct a Voluntary Recall on November 9, 2013

- Notification documented that USPlabs' products were deemed to be adulterated because USPlabs **failed** to provide the FDA with evidence, as required by law, that Aegeline was reasonably expected to be safe for use in its dietary supplements
- Predicate Rule Violation

# Agency Recall Action

## *The Kasel Notification*

USPlabs LLC.

– Firm Subsequently Issued Press Release Recalling  
**All** Products

• November 9, 2013

# Food Recall

## *Company Action*

- 1) Under FSMA, FDA has the power to demand a food company to recall, with increasing risk of criminal charges for adulteration and civil liability to consumers.
- 2) Companies should primarily focus their efforts on preventive controls to ensure the quality of the products they manufacture and distribute.



**IFTPS**

# Thank You

***IFTPS***

**34th Annual Conference & General Meeting**

**DBA**

**Dover  
Brook  
Associates**